

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/672,420		09/26/2003	Khiem K. Nguyen	AM	AMAT/8349/MASK/ETEC/ARNOL 8442		
44257	7590	10/12/2005		EXAMINER			
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP 3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500					KACKAR, RAM N		
HOUSTON, TX 77056		1500		ART UNIT PAPE			
ĺ					1763		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/672,4		NGUYEN ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit						
•	Ram N. K		1763						
The MAILING DATE of this communicat			l I	ress					
Period for Reply			·						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever eation. Try period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> .								
· · · · <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>									
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>⊑x par</i> te Qu	ayie, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	os O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	lication.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from co	nsideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	equirement.							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.						
. Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	: 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim for a	foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-992)	248)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) te						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/05/2004.		5) Notice of Informal Pa		52)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	Office Action Summar	y	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 0905					

Application/Control Number: 10/672,420

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-16 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Herchen et al (US 6159297).

Herchen et al disclose a process chamber (Fig 1) comprising a substrate support member (30), an interferometer end point detection system (175 and Col 9 lines 5-35) mounted above and configured to detect a peripheral region of the substrate (Fig 1). Further the interferometer end point system comprises a light source and a light detector (Col 10 lines 18-65) and a computer for calculating a spectra (Col 10 line 65 to Col 11 line10) and comparing to a stored characteristic value to determine the endpoint.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-14, 16, 18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yohei Yamazawa (JP 2001217227).

Yohei Yamazawa disclose a process chamber (Fig 1) comprising a substrate support member (12), an interferometer end point detection system (Abstract) mounted above and configured to detect a peripheral region of the substrate (Fig 1). Further the interferometer end

point system comprises a light source and a light detector (23,22) and a computer for calculating a spectra (26 and 27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 17 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herchen et al (US 6159297) in view of Mihashi et al (JP 11058225).

Herchen et al disclose a process chamber (Fig 1) comprising a substrate support member (30), an interferometer end point detection system (175 and Col 9 lines 5-35) mounted above and configured to detect a peripheral region of the substrate (Fig 1). Further the interferometer end point system comprises a light source and a light detector (Col 10 lines 18-65) and a computer for calculating a spectra (Col 10 line 65 to Col 11 line10) and comparing to a stored characteristic value to determine the endpoint.

Herchen et al do not disclose the detection of the end point from below the substrate. The decision to detect end point from top or bottom side, however, depends upon the orientation of etching and type of multilayers, on the substrate and convenience of mounting hardware.

Mihashi et al disclose detecting end point from the bottom side of the substrate (Abstract and Fig 1).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to detect end point from below the substrate since decision to detect end point from top or bottom side depends upon the orientation of etching and type of multilayers, on the substrate and convenience of mounting hardware.

Further this is only a rearrangement of parts. Rearrangement of parts was held to have been obvious. *In re Japikse* 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950). Similarly reversal of parts was held to have been obvious. *In re Gazda* 104 USPQ 400 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N. Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571 272 1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,420

Art Unit: 1763

Ram Kackar Examiner AU 1763

Page 5